# WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

March 24, 2011

TO:	Internal File				
THRU:	Daron Haddock, Permit Supervisor				
FROM:	James D. Smith, Environmental Scientist				
RE:	2010 Fourth Quarter Water Monitoring, PacifiCorp, TC/015/0009, Task ID #3675	<u>[rail Mountain</u>	Mine,		
The Trail Mountain Mine is currently idle. The monitoring plan is described in Appendix A of Volume 9 of the MRP.					
1. Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?					
The Oliphant portal discharge (18-1-1 or T-18) is monitored as a spring. The MRP identifies 18-3-1 (T-19) as a stream monitoring point but the Permittee reports it as a spring.					
In-mine	Ŋ	YES 🖂	NO 🗌		
The mine is sealed, so there is no monitoring of underground or in-mine water.					
Springs	7	YES 🖂	NO 🗌		
The Oliphant portal discharge (18-1-1 or T-18) is monitored quarterly. The Permittee monitors springs 17-35-2, 17-35-1, 17-26-5, 17-25-1, 17-26-4, 17-22-1, 17-21-1, and 18-2-1 for operational parameters in July and October and for flow only in August and September.					
During	g the 4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter 2010, 17-22-1 was the only spring flow	wing.			
Streams	y	YES 🖂	NO 🗌		
The Permittee monitors SW-1, SW-2 and SW-3 in Cottonwood Canyon and 18-3-1 (T-19) in Straight Canyon, for flow only during the first two months of each quarter and for operational parameters during the last month of each quarter.					

No flow was observed at SW-1 and SW-3 during the 4th Quarter 2010, and SW-2 and 18-

Page 2 C/015/0009 WQ10-4 Task ID #3675 March 24, 2011

## WATER QUALITY MEMO

3-1 had flow all three months. **UPDES** YES 🖂 NO  $\square$ DMRs were submitted in electronic format (Adobe). There was no reported discharge from either UPDES point during the Fourth Quarter 2010. The mine was sealed in June 2001 and there has been no reported discharge at UPDES UT23728-002 (the mine-water discharge into Cottonwood Creek) since May 2001. Wells YES 🖂 NO  $\square$ Since July 2004, the water level in TM-3 has been reported as head above the casing elevation, determined from pressure measured by a gauge on the sealed wellhead (see chart below). TM-1B is monitored for water level monthly and for operational parameters during the last month of each quarter. 2. Were all required parameters reported for each site? **Springs** YES 🖂 NO  $\square$ **Streams** YES 🖂 NO **UPDES** YES 🖂 NO | | Wells YES 🖂 NO | 3. Were any irregularities found in the data? Listed parameters were outside two standard deviations. An asterisk (\*) indicates this is not a parameter required by the MRP. **Springs** YES 🖂 NO  $\square$ 17-22-1 October: **D-Na**. Streams YES 🖂 NO  $\square$ SW-2 <u>December</u>: cation - anion balance; 18-3-1 December: field electric conductivity **UPDES** NO  $\boxtimes$ YES | |


No discharge.

#### WATER QUALITY MEMO

Wells YES ⊠ NO □


TM-1B <u>December</u>: **bicarbonate as CaCO3**, field pH; TM-3 October, November, and December: **depth.** 

The head in TM-1B began slowly rising in early 2003. The cause is not evident. Although highly variable from quarter to quarter, the water level now appears to be declining (the elevation was highest in June 2009). Depth was within two standard deviations of the mean during the Fourth Quarter 2010.



TM-3: water elevation peaked in June 2005, remained steady through October, dropped 18.5 ft in November and December 2005 and remained virtually unchanged during 2006. The pressure gauge was replaced in January 2007 and values jumped to approximately match the pre-2006 curve. During the first half of 2007, the curve was relatively flat, but it resumed climbing during the third quarter and has continued on an upward trend.

### WATER QUALITY MEMO



# 4. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.

The next renewal will be on 02/21/15. Baseline analyses were performed in 2001 and 2006. Analyses for baseline parameters will be repeated every 5 years, i.e., the next baseline analyses will be in 2011.

5. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

There is no further action recommended at this time.

6.	Does the Mine Operator need to submit more information monitoring requirements?	to fulfill this o	quarter's NO 🖂	
7.	Follow-up from last quarter, if necessary.		NA 🖂	
8.	Did the Mine Operator respond adequately to queries above YES	NO 🗌	irregular data' NA 🔀	
	There were no missing or irregular data in the Fourth Quarter 2010.			